

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/015,598	12/17/2001	Makoto Shizukuishi	108235-00001	4907	
7590 12/29/2005			EXAMINER		
ARENT FOX KINTNER			YODER III, CHRISS S		
PLOTKIN & K Suite 600	AHN, PLLC	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	cut Avenue, N.W.	2612			
Washington, D	OC 20036-5339	DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/015,598		SHIZUKUISHI, MAKOTO				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Chriss S. Y	oder, III	2612				
	ILING DATE of this communica			orrespondence add	ress			
Period for Reply		. DEDLY 10 OFT TO	EVELEE A MONTH	O) OD TUUDTY (20)	) DAYC			
WHICHEVER I  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON'  - If NO period for re  - Failure to reply wit Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR S LONGER, FROM THE MAIL may be available under the provisions of 3 THS from the mailing date of this community is specified above, the maximum statute hin the set or extended period for reply will by the Office later than three months after a adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even cation. ory period will apply and will , by statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Respons	ive to communication(s) filed	on <u>19 September 20</u>	<u>005</u> .					
<i>'</i> —	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
• • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Cla	aims							
•	1-28 is/are pending in the app							
	e above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from con	sideration.					
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) 1 and 3-28 is/are rejected.							
, —	<ul><li>2 is/are objected to.</li><li>are subject to restriction</li></ul>	on and/or election re	quirement					
	are subject to restricted	or and/or election re	quirement.					
<b>Application Pape</b>	rs							
	ification is objected to by the E		_					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
	nent drawing sheet(s) including the or declaration is objected to b							
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
•	oplication from the Internationa			ad				
- See the a	ttached detailed Office action	ior a list of the certif	led cobles not receive	su.				
Attachment(s)			0 Thurston 2	· (DTO 442)				
1) Notice of Refere 2) Notice of Drafts	nces Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	D-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
	closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO	·-152)			

Art Unit: 2612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 4, lines 10-21, filed September 19, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 3-6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26 and 28 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Ohsawa et al (US Patent # 5,210,598) and Ochi (US Patent # 4,441,123).

Applicant's arguments, see page 6, line 19 – page, line 17, filed September 19, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, and 27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Ohsawa et al. (US Patent # 5,210,598) in view of Miyake et al. (US Patent # 5,274,250).

Applicant's arguments, see page 6, line 19 – page, line 17, filed September 19, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, and 28 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Ohsawa et al. (US Patent # 5,210,598) in view of Ochi (US Patent # 4,441,123) and Miyake et al. (US Patent # 5,274,250).

Art Unit: 2612

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohsawa et al. (US Patent # 5,210,598) in view of Ochi (US Patent # 4,441,123).
- 2. In regard to claim 1, note Ohsawa discloses the use of a solid state image sensor, comprising a plurality of transducer column groups (figure 1: 14, there are multiple columns of transducers), each of which is composed of a first photoelectric transducer column wherein a plurality of photoelectric transducers are disposed at given intervals in a given direction (figure 1: 14), and a second photoelectric transducer column wherein a plurality of photoelectric transducers are disposed at said given intervals in the said direction (figure 1: 14, there are multiple columns of transducers), wherein transfer registers are disposed between the respective photoelectric transducer columns so as to invade spaces between the respective photoelectric transducers in the photoelectric transducers columns adjacent to each other and so as not to contact each other (figure 1: 16, each transfer register 16 is placed between transducer columns), the solid state image sensor further comprising a plurality of monolayer electrodes which pass between the photoelectric transducers and extend in a direction that intersects said given direction (figure 1: 20; the given direction is considered to be the vertical direction, and the electrodes intersect the given direction perpendicularly by passing

Art Unit: 2612

between the transducers in the horizontal direction), and which are disposed so as to be a given distance apart from each other in such a manner that signal charges generated in the photoelectric transducers are transferred along the transfer registers (column 4, lines 5-10; the electrodes 20 serve as vertical charge transfer control electrodes of the CCD).

Therefore, it can be seen that Ohsawa fails to disclose that the second column is disposed so as to be shifted from the first column by a given amount in said given direction. In analogous art, Ochi discloses the use of a solid state imaging device with an array of pixels arranged in columns and rows. Ochi discloses that the second column is disposed so as to be shifted from the first column by a given amount in said given direction (column 3, lines 65-67; and figure 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Ohsawa device to include the use of the second column being disposed so as to be shifted from the first column by a given amount in said given direction as taught by Ochi in order to reduce moiré fringes (column 3, line 68- column 4, line 2).

3. In regard to claim 3, note Ohsawa discloses that the spacing between the monolayer electrodes above the transfer registers is formed in a linear configuration (figure 1: 20, each electrode is linearly formed above the transfer registers 16), from one side edge of the transfer registers toward the other side edge of said transfer registers (figure 1: 20, the electrodes are formed in the direction perpendicular to the transfer registers 16).

4. In regard to claim 4, note Ohsawa discloses that the reflectivity of the monolayer electrodes is lower than that of metal aluminum itself (column 3, lines 65-67Ohsawa discloses that the monolayer electrodes are formed from polycrystalline silicon layers, and based on the properties of materials, polycrystalline silicon has a lower reflectivity than aluminum).

- 5. In regard to claim 5, note Ohsawa discloses that the monolayer electrodes are made of low-resistance polysilicon (column 3, lines 65-67).
- 6. In regard to claim 6, note Ohsawa discloses that the monolayer electrodes are formed by stacking a plurality of electrode materials (column 9, lines 3-16; and figure 13: 108, 110, and 112).
- 7. Claims 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohsawa et al. (US Patent # 5,210,598) in view of Miyake et al. (US Patent # 5,274,250).
- 8. In regard to claim 7, note Ohsawa discloses the use of a solid state image sensor, wherein a plurality of photoelectric transducer columns (figure 1: 14, there are multiple columns of transducers) having a plurality of photoelectric transducers disposed in a given direction at given intervals (figure 1: 14; the given direction is considered to be the vertical direction), are arranged in parallel (figure 1), and transfer registers are disposed between the respective photoelectric transducer columns (figure 1: 16, each transfer register 16 is placed between transducer columns), the solid state image sensor further comprising a plurality of monolayer electrodes which pass between the photoelectric transducers and extend in a direction that intersects the given

Art Unit: 2612

direction (figure 1: 20; the given direction is considered to be the vertical direction, and the electrodes intersect the given direction perpendicularly by passing between the transducers in the horizontal direction), and which are disposed to sandwich given gaps therebetween in such a manner that signal charges generated in the photoelectric transducers are transferred along the transfer registers (column 4, lines 5-10; the electrodes 20 serve as vertical charge transfer control electrodes of the CCD), and a light-shielding film formed above the monolayer electrodes and having light-transmitting portions through which light received in light-receiving areas of the photoelectric transducers is transmitted (column 4, lines 48-51; and figure 2: 30).

Page 6

Therefore, it can be seen that the Ohsawa device lacks the use of a nonconductive light-shielding layer formed on the image sensor. In analogous art, Miyake discloses the use of a color image sensor with a light shielding layer. Miyake disclose the use of a nonconductive light-shielding film formed on the image sensor (column 9, line 62- column 10, line 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Ohsawa device to include the use of a nonconductive light-shielding layer, as taught by Miyake, to replace the use of a the metal film used by Ohsawa in order to eliminate the use of connections such as ground that are used in typical metal layers so as to simplify the configuration (column 10, lines 10-20).

9. In regard to claim 9, note Miyake discloses the use of light-transmitting portions through which the light having a given wavelength received in light-receiving areas of the photoelectric transducers is transmitted, and light-shielding portions surrounding the

light-transmitting portions are disposed in the same plane of the light-shielding film (figure 5: 34 and 35; the light-transmitting portions 34 filter the light and are disposed in the same plane of the light-shielding film).

- 10. In regard to claim 11, note Miyake discloses the use of a filter layer which transmits light of a given wavelength is formed below the nonconductive light-shielding film (figure 3B: 34 and 35; the filter layer is below the light-shielding layer).
- 11. In regard to claim 13, note Ohsawa discloses that all or a part of edge portions of the light-shielding film is extended toward the center of the light-receiving areas of the photoelectric transducers (figure 3: 30; 30 extends toward the center of the light receiving area 14).
- 12. In regard to claim 15, note Miyake discloses that the nonconductive light-shielding film is made of a resin material (column 9, lines 65-68).
- 13. In regard to claim 17, note Miyake discloses that the resin material contains a photosensitive resin or gelatin (column 9, lines 65-68).
- 14. In regard to claim 19, note Miyake discloses that the resin material is a material wherein a pigment which absorbs or reflects visible rays is dispersed in a resin (column 9, lines 65-68).
- 15. In regard to claim 21, note Ohsawa discloses that the central positions of the light-transmitting portions are off centered from the central positions of the photoelectric transducers (figure 3: 30a-b and 14; the center of the transducers are not the same as the center between film 30, which creates the light-transmitting portions).

Art Unit: 2612

16. In regard to claim 27, note Ohsawa discloses that the planar shape of the light-transmitting portions is that of a polygon having four sides (figure 2: 22).

Page 8

- 17. Claims 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohsawa et al. (US Patent # 5,210,598) in view of Ochi (US Patent # 4,441,123) and in further view of Miyake et al. (US Patent # 5,274,250).
- 18. In regard to claim 8, note Ohsawa discloses the use of a solid state image sensor, comprising a plurality of transducer column groups arranged in parallel (figure 1: 14, there are multiple columns of transducers), each of which is composed of a first photoelectric transducer column wherein a plurality of photoelectric transducers are disposed at given intervals in a given direction (figure 1: 14; the given direction is considered to be the vertical direction), and a second photoelectric transducer column wherein a plurality of photoelectric transducers are disposed at said given intervals in said given direction (figure 1: 14, there are multiple columns of transducers), wherein transfer registers are disposed between the respective photoelectric transducer columns so as to invade spaces between the respective photoelectric transducers in the photoelectric transducers columns adjacent to each other and so as not to contact each other (figure 1: 16, each transfer register 16 is placed between transducer columns), the solid state image sensor further comprising a plurality of monolayer electrodes which pass between the photoelectric transducers to extend in a direction which intersects said given direction (figure 1: 20; the given direction is considered to be the vertical direction, and the electrodes intersect the given direction perpendicularly by passing between the transducers in the horizontal direction), and which are disposed to

Art Unit: 2612

sandwich given gaps therebetween in such a manner that signal charges generated in the photoelectric transducers are transferred along the transfer registers (column 4, lines 5-10; the electrodes 20 serve as vertical charge transfer control electrodes of the CCD), and a light-shielding film formed above the monolayer electrodes and having light-transmitting portions through which light received in light-receiving areas of the photoelectric transducers is transmitted (column 4, lines 48-51; and figure 2: 30).

Therefore, it can be seen that Ohsawa fails to disclose that the second column is disposed so as to be shifted from the first column by a given amount in said given direction and the use of a nonconductive light-shielding film formed above the monolayer electrodes.

In analogous art, Ochi discloses the use of a solid state imaging device with an array of pixels arranged in columns and rows. Ochi discloses that the second column is disposed so as to be shifted from the first column by a given amount in said given direction (column 3, lines 65-67; and figure 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Ohsawa device to include the use of the second column being disposed so as to be shifted from the first column by a given amount in said given direction as taught by Ochi in order to reduce moiré fringes (column 3, line 68- column 4, line 2).

Also in analogous art, Miyake discloses the use of a color image sensor with a light shielding layer. Miyake disclose the use of a nonconductive light-shielding film formed on the image sensor (column 9, line 62- column 10, line 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Ohsawa device to

include the use of a nonconductive light-shielding layer, as taught by Miyake, to replace the use of a the metal film used by Ohsawa in order to eliminate the use of connections such as ground that are used in typical metal layers so as to simplify the configuration (column 10, lines 10-20).

- 19. In regard to claim 10, note Miyake discloses the use of light-transmitting portions through which the light having a given wavelength received in light-receiving areas of the photoelectric transducers is transmitted, and light-shielding portions surrounding the light-transmitting portions are disposed in the same plane of the light-shielding film (figure 5: 34 and 35; the light-transmitting portions 34 filter the light and are disposed in the same plane of the light-shielding film).
- 20. In regard to claim 12, note Miyake discloses the use of a filter layer which transmits light of a given wavelength is formed below the nonconductive light-shielding film (figure 3B: 34 and 35; the filter layer is below the light-shielding layer).
- 21. In regard to claim 14, note Ohsawa discloses that all or a part of edge portions of the light-shielding film is extended toward the center of the light-receiving areas of the photoelectric transducers (figure 3: 30; 30 extends toward the center of the light receiving area 14).
- 22. In regard to claim 16, note Miyake discloses that the nonconductive light-shielding film is made of a resin material (column 9, lines 65-68).
- 23. In regard to claim 18, note Miyake discloses that the resin material contains a photosensitive resin or gelatin (column 9, lines 65-68).

- 24. In regard to claim 20, note Miyake discloses that the resin material is a material wherein a pigment which absorbs or reflects visible rays is dispersed in a resin (column 9, lines 65-68).
- 25. In regard to claim 22, note Ohsawa discloses that the central positions of the light-transmitting portions are off centered from the central positions of the photoelectric transducers (figure 3: 30a-b and 14; the center of the transducers are not the same as the center between film 30, which creates the light-transmitting portions). In regard to claim 28, note Ohsawa discloses that the planar shape of the light-transmitting portions is that of a polygon having four sides (figure 2: 22).
- 26. Claims 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohsawa et al. (US Patent # 5,210,598) in view of Miyake et al. (US Patent # 5,274,250), and further in view of Yamaguchi et al. (US Patent # 6,344,666).
- 27. In regard to claim 23, note the primary reference of Ohsawa in view of Miyake discloses the use of an imaging device as claimed in claim 7 above. Therefore, it can be seen that the primary reference fails to disclose that the arrangement pitch of central positions of the light-transmitting portions is made smaller than the arrangement pitch of central positions of the photoelectric transducers. Yamaguchi discloses the use of an image sensor wherein the arrangement pitch of central positions of the light-transmitting portions is made smaller than the arrangement pitch of central positions of the photoelectric transducers (column 5, line 54 column 6, line 13; and figure 2: 22 and 26; the center portion of the sensor has the light-transmitting portion centered above the pixel, and the peripheral potion has the light-transmitting portion off center above the

pixel). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the primary device to include the use of image sensor wherein the arrangement pitch of central positions of the light-transmitting portions is made smaller than the arrangement pitch of central positions of the photoelectric transducers, as taught by Yamaguchi, is preferred so that the amount of light entering the center and the peripheral portions of the chip can be made equal (abstract).

Page 12

- 28. In regard to claim 25, note Yamaguchi discloses that the arrangement pitch of optical axis of microlenses arranged above the light-shielding film is made smaller than the arrangement pitch of central positions of the photoelectric transducers (column 5, line 54 column 6, line 13; and figure 2: 22 and 27; the center portion of the sensor has the microlenses centered above the pixel, and the peripheral potion has the microlenses off center above the pixel).
- 29. Claims 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohsawa et al. (US Patent # 5,210,598) in view of Ochi (US Patent # 4,441,123), in further view of Miyake et al. (US Patent # 5,274,250), and further in view of Yamaguchi et al. (US Patent # 6,344,666).
- 30. In regard to claim 24, note the primary reference of Ohsawa in view of Ochi and Miyake discloses the use of an imaging device as claimed in claim 8 above. Therefore, it can be seen that the primary reference fails to disclose that the arrangement pitch of central positions of the light-transmitting portions is made smaller than the arrangement pitch of central positions of the photoelectric transducers. Yamaguchi discloses the use of an image sensor wherein the arrangement pitch of central positions of the light-

Art Unit: 2612

transmitting portions is made smaller than the arrangement pitch of central positions of the photoelectric transducers (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 13; and figure 2: 22 and 26; the center portion of the sensor has the light-transmitting portion centered above the pixel, and the peripheral potion has the light-transmitting portion off center above the pixel). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the primary device to include the use of image sensor wherein the arrangement pitch of central positions of the light-transmitting portions is made smaller than the arrangement pitch of central positions of the photoelectric transducers, as taught by Yamaguchi, is preferred so that the amount of light entering the center and the peripheral portions of the chip can be made equal (abstract).

31. In regard to claim 26, note Yamaguchi discloses that the arrangement pitch of optical axis of microlenses arranged above the light-shielding film is made smaller than the arrangement pitch of central positions of the photoelectric transducers (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 13; and figure 2: 22 and 27; the center portion of the sensor has the microlenses centered above the pixel, and the peripheral potion has the microlenses off center above the pixel).

## Allowable Subject Matter

32. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2612

33. As for claims 2, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the use of an image sensor solid state image sensor, comprising a plurality of transducer columns composed of a plurality of photoelectric transducers, transfer registers disposed between the photoelectric transducer columns, and a plurality of monolayer electrodes the photoelectric transducers, wherein the spacing between the monolayer electrodes above the transfer registers is made narrower than the spacing between the monolayer electrodes above isolation regions for electrically isolating the transfer registers adjacent to each other.

34.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chriss S. Yoder, III whose telephone number is (571) 272-7323. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ngoc-Yen Vu can be reached on (571) 272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CSY December 12, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER